

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAFAEL CARRASCO CARRASCO,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
STANISLAUS COUNTY SHERIFF,  
et al.,  
  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-000432-HBK (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO  
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
AND CLOSING CASE IN FRESNO  
DIVISION

Plaintiff, a prisoner, initiated this *pro se* action by filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 accompanied with an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on April 11, 2024 (Doc. Nos. 1, 2). Because the events giving rise to the cause of action occurred in Stanislaus Detention Center, East, which is in Stanislaus County, Plaintiff should have filed his complaint in the Sacramento Division of this Court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b); *see also* *Ziegler v. Indian River County*, 64 F.3d 470, 474 (9th Cir. 1995) (reviewing federal court jurisdiction and venue in a § 1983 action). Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the correct court. The Court finds it in the interests of justice to transfer this case to the Sacramento Division under 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a) and Local Rule 120(f).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

1. The Clerk of Court is directed to transfer this action to the Sacramento Division of

1 this Court and close the case in this division.

2 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be  
3 filed at:

4 United States District Court  
5 Eastern District of California  
6 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200  
7 Sacramento, CA 95814

8 3. This Court defers rulings on Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. No.  
9 2) to the transferee court.

10 Dated: April 12, 2024

11   
12 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA  
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28